arXiv:1703.07961v2 [math.AC] 29 Mar 2017

ON THE HILBERT COEFFICIENTS, DEPTH OF ASSOCIATED GRADED RINGS AND REDUCTION NUMBERS

AMIR MAFI AND DLER NADERI

Abstract. Let $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ be a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring, I an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of R and $J=(x_1,...,x_d)$ a minimal reduction of I. We show that if $J_{d-1}=(x_1,...,x_{d-1})$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda(I^{n+1}\cap J_{d-1})/(JI^n\cap J_{d-1})=i$ where i=0,1, then $\operatorname{depth} G(I)\geq d-i-1$. Moreover, we prove that if $e_2(I)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1})-2$; or if I is integrally closed and $e_2(I)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1})-i$ where $i=3,4$, then $e_1(I)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1})-1$. In addition, we show that $r(I)$ is independent. Furthermore, we study the independence of $r(I)$ with some other conditions.

1. introduction

Throughout the paper we will assume that $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ is a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring having an infinite residue field and I an $\mathfrak{m}$-primary ideal of R. An ideal $J\subseteq I$ is called a reduction of I if $I^{r+1}=JI^r$ for some nonnegative integer r (see [20]). The least such r is called the reduction number of I with respect to J and denoted by $r_J(I)$. A reduction J is called a minimal reduction if it does not properly contain a reduction of I, under our assumption it is generated by a regular sequence. The reduction number of I is defined as $r(I)=\min\{r_J(I): J \text{ is a minimal reduction of } I\}$. The reduction number $r(I)$ is said to be independent if $r(I)=r_J(I)$ for all minimal reduction J of I. Sally in [26] raised the following question: If $(R,\mathfrak{m})$ is a d-dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local ring having an infinite residue field, then is $r(\mathfrak{m})$ independent? A natural extension of this question is to replace $r(\mathfrak{m})$ with $r(I)$. Let $G(I)=\bigoplus_{n\geq 0}I^n/I^{n+1}$ be the associated graded ring of I. Huckaba in [10] and Trung in [29] independently proved that if $\operatorname{depth} G(I)\geq d-1$, then $r(I)$ is independent(see also [18], [8], [9] and [28]). Moreover, Wu in [34] with some conditions proved that if $\operatorname{depth} G(I)\geq d-2$, then $r(I)$ is independent. However if $d\geq 2$ and $\operatorname{depth} G(I)\leq d-2$, then $r(I)$ is not independent in general. Counter-examples have been obtained in [10], [18] and [17].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Hilbert coefficient, Minimal reduction, Associated graded ring.

Key words and phrases. 13A30, 13D40, 13H10.

The Hilbert function of I is given by $H_I(n) = \lambda(R/I^n)$ (where λ denotes length) with the convention that $I^n = R$ for $n \leq 0$. The Hilbert polynomial of I is written in the form

$$P_I(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} (-1)^i e_i(I) \binom{n+d-i-1}{d-i},$$

where $e_0(I), e_1(I), ..., e_d(I)$ are uniquely determined by I and called the Hilbert coefficients of I. It is well known that $H_I(n) = P_I(n)$ for all $n \gg 0$.

Valabrega and Valla in [30] obtained that $G(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if there exists a minimal reduction J of I such that $I^n \cap J = I^{n-1}J$ for all n. Later on, Guerrieri in [4] asked that if J is a minimal reduction of I such that $\sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda(I^n \cap J/I^{n-1}J) = t$, then is $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = d - t$? The case $t = 0$ is simply a restatement of the Valabrega-Vall theorem, whereas case $t = 1$ was proved in [4]. Some partial answers in the case $t = 2, 3$ were also proved in [5] and [7]. Huckaba and Marley in [14] and Vaz Pinto in [31] independently showed that $e_1(I) \leq \sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda(I^n/I^{n-1}J)$ and equality holds if and only if $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d - 1$ for some minimal reduction J of I. Another closely related conjecture was raised by Wang in [32] while attempting to prove Guerrieri's conjecture. Namely, he asked whether the difference $\sum_{n\geq 1} \lambda(I^n/I^{n-1}J) - e_1(I) = s \geq 0$, implies $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d - s - 1$. Wang first showed that an affirmative answer to his conjecture implies the validity of Guerrieri's conjecture. Then in [32] he settled the case $s = 1$ (see also [22]). Unfortunately, both conjectures fail in general as shown in [33].

Corso, Polini and Rossi in [2] established a general upper bound on $e_2(I)$, which is reminiscent of the bound on $e_1(I)$ due to Huckaba and Marley in [14] and Vaz Pinto in [31]. Namely, it holds that $e_2(I) \leq \sum_{n\geq 2} (n-1)\lambda(I^n/I^{n-1}J)$ for any minimal reduction reduction J of I. Furthermore, the upper bound is attained if and only if $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d - 1$. In addition, if $e_2(I) \geq \sum_{n\geq 2} (n-1)\lambda(I^n/I^{n-1}J) - 2$ or if I is integrally closed and $e_2(I) \geq \sum_{n\geq 2} (n-1)\lambda(I^n/I^{n-1}J) - 4$, then $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d - 2$.

In this paper we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $J = (x_1, ..., x_d)$ be a minimal reduction of I.*
(1) If one of the following conditions holds:

(i) $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 2$;

(ii) *I is integrally closed and* $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - i$, *where* $i = 3, 4$.

Then $e_1(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 1$. *Moreover, we give a counterexample such that the converse in general is not true.*

(2) If $J_{d-1} = (x_1, ..., x_{d-1})$ *and* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I^{n+1} \cap J_{d-1})/(JI^n \cap J_{d-1}) = i$ *where i=0,1, then depth* $G(I) \geq d - i - 1$.

Theorem 1.2. *With our assumption of the above theorem, we show that* $r(I)$ *is independent. Also, we study the independence of* $r(I)$ *with some other conditions.*

For any unexplained notation or terminology, we refer the reader to [1] and [25].

2. Preliminary

In this section we recall some known results which is studied in [14]. An element $x \in I \setminus I^2$ is said to be superficial for I if there is an integer c such that $(I^{n+1} : x) \cap I^c = I^n$ for all $n \geq c$. If grade $I \geq 1$ and x is a superficial element, then x is a regular element of R and by Artin-Rees Theorem $I^{n+1} : x = I^n$ for all n sufficiently large. If $R/\mathfrak{m}$ is infinite, then a superficial element for I always exists. A sequence $x_1, ..., x_s$ is called a superficial sequence for I if x_1 is superficial for I and x_i is superficial for $I/(x_1, ..., x_{i-1})$ for $2 \leq i \leq s$. If J is a minimal reduction of I, then there is a superficial sequence $x_1, ..., x_d$ in I such that $J = (x_1, ..., x_d)$. For any element $x \in I$ we let x^* denote the image of x in I/I^2. We note that if x^* is a regular element of $G(I)$, then x is a regular element of R and $G(I/(x)) \cong G(I)/(x^*)$.

A set of ideals $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ where $I_0 = R$ and $I_1 = I$, of R is called a *Hilbert filtration* if we have (i) $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, (ii) $I_n I_m \subseteq I_{n+m}$ for all $n, m \geq 0$, and (iii) there is a $k \geq 0$ such that $I^n \subseteq I_n \subseteq I^{n-k}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Hilbert filtration and $\underline{x} = x_1, ..., x_l \in I_1$ a regular sequence on R and a superficial sequence for $\mathcal{F}$. Huckaba and Marley in [14] constructed the Koszul complex $C_.(\underline{x}, \mathcal{F}, n)$ which has the following form

$$0 \longrightarrow R/I_{n-l} \longrightarrow (R/I_{n-l+1})^l \longrightarrow (R/I_{n-l+2})^{\binom{l}{2}} \longrightarrow \quad ... \quad \longrightarrow R/I_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $C_.(n) = C_.(x_1, x_2, ..., x_l, \mathcal{F}, n)$ and $C_.'(n) = C_.(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{l-1}, \mathcal{F}, n)$. For any n there is an exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow C_.'(n) \longrightarrow C_.(n) \longrightarrow C_.'(n-1)[-1] \longrightarrow 0.$$

Thus, we have the corresponding long exact sequence on homology:

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_i(C_.'(n)) \longrightarrow H_i(C_.(n)) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(C_.'(n-1)) \xrightarrow{x_l} H_{i-1}(C_.'(n)) \longrightarrow \cdots .(*)$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a Hilbert filtration, $H_i(C_.(\underline{x}, \mathcal{F}, n))$ has finite length for all i and n. For $i \geq 1$, consider

$$h_i(\underline{x}, \mathcal{F}) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(H_i(C_.(\underline{x}, \mathcal{F}, n)))$$

and

$$k_i(\underline{x},\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(H_i(C_.(\underline{x},\mathcal{F},n))).$$

These integers are well-defined by [14, Lemma 3.6]. Although, $h_i(\underline{x},\mathcal{F}) = k_i(\underline{x},\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $i \geq l$. For $\underline{x}' = x_1, ..., x_{l-1}$ we define

$$h'_i(\underline{x}',\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda(H_i(C_.(\underline{x}',\mathcal{F},n))).$$

3. The results

Lemma 3.1. *(*[14, Theorem 3.7]*) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Hilbert filtration and $\underline{x} = x_1, ..., x_l$ a regular sequence on R and a superficial sequence for $\mathcal{F}$. Then for each $i \geq 1$ $\sum_{j\geq i}(-1)^{j-i}h_j(\underline{x},\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$. Moreover, equality occurs if and only if grade$(\underline{x}^*) \geq l-i+1$.*

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Hilbert filtration and $\underline{x} = x_1, ..., x_l$ be a regular sequence on R and a superficial sequence for $\mathcal{F}$. Then, for each $i \geq 1$, $\sum_{j\geq i+1}(-1)^{j-i-1}k_j(\underline{x},\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$. Moreover, equality occurs if and only if grade$(\underline{x}^*) \geq l-i+1$.*

Proof. Fix $i \geq 1$ and for each n let B_n be the kernel of the map $H_i(C_.(n)) \longrightarrow H_{i-1}(C_.'(n-1))$ given in $(*)$. Then, for each n, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_l(C_.(n)) \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow H_i(C_.'(n-1)) \xrightarrow{x_l} H_i(C_.'(n)) \longrightarrow B_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, for each n, we have

$$\lambda(B_n) = \sum_{j=i+1}^{l}(-1)^{j-i-1}\lambda(H_j(C_.(n))) + \sum_{j=i}^{l}(-1)^{j-i}\Delta[\lambda(H_j(C_.'(n)))]$$

and also by using [11, Lemma 2.7] we have

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\Delta[\lambda(H_j(C'_.(n))] = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda(H_j(C'_.(n)) = -h'_j(\underline{x}',\mathcal{F}).$$

Thus we see that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(B_n) = \sum_{j=i+1}^{l}(-1)^{j-i-1}k_j(\underline{x},\mathcal{F}) - \sum_{j=i}^{l}(-1)^{j-i}h'_j(\underline{x}',\mathcal{F}). \quad (**)$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have $\sum_{j=i}^{l}(-1)^{j-i}h'_j(\underline{x}',\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ and so $\sum_{j=i+1}^{l}(-1)^{j-i-1}k_j(\underline{x},\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ for each $i \geq 1$.

By [14, Proposition 3.3], if grade$(\underline{x}^*) \geq l-i+1$ then $H_j(C_.(n)) = 0$ for all n and

$j \geq i$. Thus, $k_j(\underline{x}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $j \geq i$.
Conversely, suppose for $i \geq 1$

$$\sum_{j=i+1}^{l} (-1)^{j-i-1} k_j(\underline{x}, \mathcal{F}) = 0.$$

Then by $(**)$ we have $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(B_n) = 0$ and so by Lemma 3.1 we obtain grade $(\underline{x}^*) \geq l - i + 1$. □

Remark 3.3. By [14, §4.] we have

$$\Delta^d[P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) - H_{\mathcal{F}}(n)] = \lambda(I_n/JI_{n-1}) - \sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^i \lambda(H_i(C_\cdot(n)))$$

and

$$e_i(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \tbinom{n-1}{i-1} \Delta^d[P_{\mathcal{F}}(n) - H_{\mathcal{F}}(n)].$$

Therefore we can obtain the following

$$e_1(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_n/JI_{n-1}) - \sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^i h_i(\underline{x}, \mathcal{F})$$

and

$$e_2(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I_n/JI_{n-1}) - \sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^i k_i(\underline{x}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Proposition 3.4. *(compare with* [2, Theorem 3.1]*) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Hilbert filtration and $J = (x_1, ..., x_d)$ be a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. Then*

$$e_2(\mathcal{F}) \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I_n/JI_{n-1})$$

with equality if and only if depth$G(\mathcal{F}) \geq d - 1$.

Proof. By Remark 3.3 and Lemma 3.2 we have the following

$$e_2(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I_n/JI_{n-1}) - \sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} k_i(J, \mathcal{F}),$$

and

$$\sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} k_i(J, \mathcal{F}) \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$e_2(\mathcal{F}) \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I_n/JI_{n-1}).$$

Also the equality follows by Lemma 3.2. □

Remark 3.5. By [14, Lemma 3.2] and $(*)$ we can obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (I_{n-l+1} : (\underline{x}))/I_{n-l} \longrightarrow (I_{n-l+1} : (\underline{x}'))/I_{n-l} \xrightarrow{x_l} (I_{n-l+2} : (\underline{x}'))/I_{n-l+1} \longrightarrow ...$$

$$\longrightarrow (I_{n-1} \cap (\underline{x}'))/(\underline{x}')I_{n-2} \xrightarrow{x_l} (I_n \cap (\underline{x}'))/(\underline{x}')I_{n-1} \longrightarrow (I_n \cap (\underline{x}))/(\underline{x})I_{n-1} \longrightarrow$$

$$R/(I_{n-1}, (\underline{x}')) \xrightarrow{x_l} R/(I_n, (\underline{x}')) \longrightarrow R/(I_n, (\underline{x})) \longrightarrow 0.$$

If A_n is the kernel of the map $R/(I_{n-1}, (\underline{x}')) \xrightarrow{x_l} R/(I_n, (\underline{x}'))$, then $A_n = ((I_n, (\underline{x}')) : x_l)/(I_{n-1}, (\underline{x}'))$.
If B_n is the kernel of the map $(I_n \cap (\underline{x}))/(\underline{x})I_{n-1} \longrightarrow R/(I_{n-1}, (\underline{x}'))$ or the cokernel of the map $(I_{n-1} \cap (\underline{x}'))/(\underline{x}')I_{n-2} \xrightarrow{x_l} (I_n \cap (\underline{x}'))/(\underline{x}')I_{n-1}$, then
$B_n = (I_n \cap (\underline{x}'))/((\underline{x}')I_{n-1} + x_l(I_{n-1} \cap (\underline{x}')))$. Thus if $\operatorname{grade}(\underline{x}^*) \geq l-1$, then
$((I_n, (\underline{x}')) : x_l)/(I_{n-1}, (\underline{x}')) \cong (I_n \cap (\underline{x}))/(\underline{x})I_{n-1}$.

From now on, we will assume that the filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is I-adic filtration. Let $J = (x_1, ..., x_d)$, where $x_1, ..., x_d$ is a superficial sequence in I, i.e., J is a minimal reduction of I. For $i \leq d-1$, set $J_i = (x_1, ..., x_i)$ (with the convention $J_i = (0)$ if $i \leq 0$), and we denote $h_i(\underline{x}, \mathcal{F})$, $k_i(\underline{x}, \mathcal{F})$ and $h'_i(\underline{x}', \mathcal{F})$ for I-adic filteration by h_i ,k_i and h'_i, respectively.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $d \geq 2$ and J be a minimal reduction of I such that $\sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} h_i = 1$. Then $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-2$.*

Proof. If $\sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} h_i = 1$, then by Remark 3.3, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda(I^{n+1}/JI^n) - e_1(I) = 1$ and so by [32, Theorem 3.1] we have $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-2$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Let J be a minimal reduction of I such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda((I^{n+1} \cap J_{d-1})/(JI^n \cap J_{d-1})) = 0$. Then $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-1$.*

Proof. By using induction on n, we prove that $I^{n+1} \cap J_{d-1} = J_{d-1}I^n$ for every $n \geq 0$. For $n = 0$, there is nothing to prove. Assume that $n \geq 1$ and $I^n \cap J_{d-1} = J_{d-1}I^{n-1}$. From the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} I^{n+1} \cap J_{d-1} &= JI^n \cap J_{d-1} \\ &= (J_{d-1}I^n + x_d I^n) \cap J_{d-1} \\ &= J_{d-1}I^n + (x_d I^n \cap J_{d-1}) \\ &= J_{d-1}I^n + x_d(I^n \cap J_{d-1}) \\ &= J_{d-1}I^n + x_d(J_{d-1}I^{n-1}) = J_{d-1}I^n, \end{aligned}$$

and using Valabrega and Valla's theorem the result follows.

□

Proposition 3.8. *Let J be a minimal reduction of I such that* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda((I^{n+1} \cap J_{d-1})/(JI^n \cap J_{d-1})) = 1$. *Then* $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-2$.

Proof. Let B_{n+1} be the kernel of the map $H_1(C_.(n+1)) \to H_0(C_.'(n))$ given in $(*)$. Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H_d(C_.(n+1)) \longrightarrow ... \longrightarrow H_1(C_.'(n)) \xrightarrow{x_d} H_1(C_.'(n+1)) \longrightarrow B_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore by [14, Lemma 3.2] we have the following exact sequence

$$... \longrightarrow I^n \cap J_{d-1}/J_{d-1}I^{n-1} \xrightarrow{x_d} I^{n+1} \cap J_{d-1}/J_{d-1}I^n \longrightarrow B_{n+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

and $B_{n+1} = I^{n+1} \cap J_{d-1}/JI^n \cap J_{d-1}$. Thus

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_{n+1}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda((I^{n+1} \cap J_{d-1})/(JI^n \cap J_{d-1})) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} h_i = 1$$

and so by Proposition 3.6 we have $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-2$. □

Remark 3.9. Let B_n be the kernel of the map $H_1(C_.(n)) \to H_0(C_.'(n-1))$ given in $(*)$. Then for each n we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to H_d(C_.(n)) \to ... \to H_1(C_.'(n-1)) \xrightarrow{x_d} H_1(C_.'(n)) \to B_n \to 0.$$

Therefore, for each n we have

$$\lambda(B_n) = \sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} \lambda(H_i(C_.(n))) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{i-1} \Delta[\lambda(H_i(C_.'(n)))]$$

and by using [11, Lemma 2.7] $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\Delta[\lambda(H_i(C'_.(n)))] = -\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(H_i(C'_.(n))) = -h'_i$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta[\lambda(H_i(C'_.(n))] = 0$.

Since $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(B_n) = \sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} k_i - \sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{i-1} h'_i$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_n) = \sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} h_i$, by Lemma 3.1, $\sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{i-1} h'_i = 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-1$ if and only if $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(B_n) = 0$. Thus $\sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} k_i = 1$ cannot be happen. If $\sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} k_i = 2$, then we have $\sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{i-1} h'_i = 1$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(B_n) = 1$. In this case we obtain $\lambda(B_2) = 1$ and $\lambda(B_n) = 0$ for any $n \neq 2$. Therefore $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_n) = \sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2} h_i = 1$ and by Proposition 3.6 $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-2$.
If I is integrally closed, then $I^2 \cap J = JI$ and by [14, Lemma 3.2] we have $H_1(C.(3)) = 0$ and $B_2 = 0$.

If $\sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^{i-2}k_i = 2$, then $\lambda(B_2) = 1$ and this is contradiction. Thus, this case can not be happen.
If $\sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^{i-2}k_i = 3$, then we have $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(B_n) = 1$ *or* 2. The case $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(B_n) = 1$ can not be happen because $\lambda(B_2) = 0$. If $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(B_n) = 2$, then $\lambda(B_3) = 1$ and $\lambda(B_n) = 0$ for all $n \neq 3$, so $\sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^{i-2}h_i = 1$ and $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-2$.
If $\sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^{i-2}k_i = 4$, then we have $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(B_n) = 2$ *or* 3. If $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(B_n) = 2$, then $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-2$.
If $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(B_n) = 3$, then $\lambda(B_4) = 1$ and $\lambda(B_n) = 0$ for any $n \neq 4$. Thus $\sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^{i-2}h_i = 1$ and $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-2$.

In the following result we compare [2, Theorem 3.1 and 3.3] with [32, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 3.10. *Let J be a minimal reduction of I. If one of the following conditions holds:*

(1) $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 2$;

(2) *I is integrally closed and* $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - i$, *where* $i = 3, 4$.

Then $e_1(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 1$.

Proof. (1) If $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 2$, then $\sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^{i-2}k_i = 2$ and by Remark 3.9 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda(B_n) = \sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^{i-2}h_i = 1$. Therefore by Remark 3.3 we have $e_1(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 1$.

(2) If I is integrally closed and $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - i$ where $i = 3, 4$ then $\sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^{i-2}k_i = 3$ *or* 4. Therefore by Remark 3.9 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda(B_n) = \sum_{i=2}^{d}(-1)^{i-2}h_i = 1$ and so $e_1(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 1$.

□

The following example show that the converse of Theorem 3.10 in general is not true.

Example 3.11. Let $R = k[x,y]_{(x,y)}$, where k is a field and $I = (x^6, y^6, x^5y + x^2y^4)$. Then by using Macaulay 2 [3] we can obtain the following Hilbert polynomial

$$P_I(n) = 36\begin{pmatrix} n+1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} - 15\begin{pmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + 11$$

and $e_1(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 1$ but $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 3$ and I is not integrally closed.

Let $A = \bigoplus_{m\geq 0} A_m$ be a Notherian graded ring where A_0 is an Artinian local ring, A is generated by A_1 over A_0 and $A_+ = \bigoplus_{m>0} A_m$. Let $H^i_{A_+}(A)$ denote the i-th local cohomology module of A with respect to the graded ideal A_+ and set $a_i(A) = \max\{m|\ \ [H^i_{A_+}(A)]_m \neq 0\}$ with the convention $a_i(A) = -\infty$, if $H^i_{A_+}(A) = 0$. The Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity is defined by $\operatorname{reg}(A) := \max\{a_i(A) + i|\ \ i \geq 0\}$. In the following theorem, for simply, we use a_i instead of $a_i(G(I))$.

Theorem 3.12. *Let J denote a minimal reduction of I. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

(1) $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 2$

(2) *I is integrally closed and* $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - i$, *where* $i = 3, 4$.

Then $r(I)$ is independent.

Proof. (1) By Remark 3.9, $\sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2}k_i = 2$ and $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-2$. If $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-1$, then by [17, Theorem 2] $r_J(I) = \operatorname{reg}(G(I))$ and so the result in this case follows. Now we assume that $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = d-2$ and $\sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2}k_i = 2$. By Remark 3.9, $\lambda(B_2) = 1$ and $\lambda(B_n) = 0$ for any $n \neq 2$. Therefore we have $\lambda(I^2 \cap J_{d-1}/J_{d-1}I) = 1$, $\lambda(I^n \cap J_{d-1}/J_{d-1}I^{n-1}) = 0$ for any $n \neq 2$,
$\lambda((I^2 + J_{d-2}) : x_{d-1}/I) = 1$ and $\lambda((I^n + J_{d-2}) : x_{d-1}/I^{n-1} + J_{d-2}) = 0$ for any $n \neq 2$. If $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = d-2$, then by applying [18, Theorem 2.1] there is two cases:
(i) If $a_{d-1} \leq a_d$, then $r_J(I) = a_d + d = reg(G(I))$.
(ii)If $a_d < a_{d-1}$, then $r_J(I) \leq a_{d-1}+d-1 = reg(G(I))$ and $a_{d-1} = \max\{n|(I^{n+d-1}+ J_{d-2}) : x_{d-1} \neq I^{n+d-2} + J_{d-2}\}$. Therefore by the above process $a_{d-1} = 3-d$ and so for any reduction J of I, $r_J(I) \leq 2$. Hence $r(I)$ is independent.
(2) Let $i = 3$. Then by Remark 3.9, $\lambda(B_3) = 1$ and $\lambda(B_n) = 0$ for any $n \neq 3$. Thus

$$\lambda(I^3 \cap J_{d-1}/J_{d-1}I^2) = 1 = \lambda((I^3 + J_{d-2}) : x_{d-1}/I^2)$$

and

$$\lambda(I^n \cap J_{d-1}/J_{d-1}I^{n-1}) = 0 = \lambda((I^n + J_{d-2}) : x_{d-1}/I^{n-1} + J_{d-2})$$

for any $n \neq 3$. If $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = d-2$, then by [18, Theorem 2.1] there is two cases:
(i) If $a_{d-1} \leq a_d$, then $r_J(I) = a_d + d = reg(G(I))$.
(ii) If $a_d < a_{d-1}$, then $r_J(I) \leq a_{d-1}+d-1 = reg(G(I))$ and $a_{d-1} = \max\{n|(I^{n+d-1}+ J_{d-2}) : x_{d-1} \neq I^{n+d-2} + J_{d-2}\}$. Therefore by the above process $a_{d-1} = 4-d$ and so for any reduction J of I, $r_J(I) \leq 3$. Since I is integrally closured, then $r(I)$ is independent.
Let $i = 4$. Then by Remark 3.9, $\sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2}k_i = 4$, $\lambda(B_4) = 1$ and $\lambda(B_n) = 0$ for any $n \neq 4$. Therefore we have $\lambda(I^4 \cap J_{d-1}/J_{d-1}I^3) = 1 = \lambda((I^4 + J_{d-2}) : x_{d-1}/I^3)$, $\lambda(I^n \cap J_{d-1}/J_{d-1}I^{n-1}) = 0 = \lambda((I^n + J_{d-2}) : x_{d-1}/I^{n-1} + J_{d-2})$ for any $n \neq 4$.
If $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = d-2$, then by applying [18, Theorem 2.1] there is two cases:
(i) If $a_{d-1} \leq a_d$, then $r_J(I) = a_d + d = reg(G(I))$.
(ii) If $a_d < a_{d-1}$, then $r_J(I) \leq a_{d-1}+d-1 = reg(G(I))$ and $a_{d-1} = \max\{n|(I^{n+d-1}+ J_{d-2}) : x_{d-1} \neq I^{n+d-2} + J_{d-2}\}$. Therefore by the above process $a_{d-1} = 5-d$ and so for any reduction J of I, $r_J(I) \leq 4$. If $r_J(I) \leq 2$ for some J, then $G(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and this is a contradiction with $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = d-2$. If $r_J(I) = 3$ for some J, then $I^4 = JI^3$ and so $\lambda(I^4 \cap J_{d-1}/J_{d-1}I^3) = 0$ and this is a contradiction. Hence for any minimal reduction J of I we have $r_J(I) = 4$ and so $r(I)$ is independent.

□

Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of grade at least 1 in a Noetherian ring R. The Ratliff-Rush closure of $\mathfrak{a}$ is defined as the ideal $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}} = \cup_{n\geq 1}(\mathfrak{a}^{n+1} : \mathfrak{a}^n)$. It is a refinement of the integral closure of $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a}$ if $\mathfrak{a}$ is integrally closed (see [23]).

Proposition 3.13. *(compare with* [2, Theorem 3.3(b)]*) Let $d = 3$ and J be a minimal reduction of I. If $\widetilde{I} = I$ and $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 3$ then $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq 1$.*

Proof. If $e_2(I) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)\lambda(I^n/JI^{n-1}) - 3$, then $\sum_{i=2}^{d} (-1)^{i-2}k_i = 3$. Hence by Remark 3.9, $\sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{i-1}h'_i = 1$ and $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\lambda(B_n) = 2$. Therefore we have the following cases:

1) $\lambda(B_3) = 1$ and $\lambda(B_n) = 0$ for any $n \neq 3$.
   or
2) $\lambda(B_2) = 2$ and $\lambda(B_n) = 0$ for any $n \neq 2$.

For the first case, by Proposition 3.6. $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq 1$ and the result follows. Let consider the second case. Since $\widetilde{I} = I$, we can obtain that $I^2 : x = I$ for all

superficial element. Since $\lambda(B_2) = 2$, it follows $\lambda(I^2 \cap J_2/J_2I) = 2$. It therefore follows $\lambda(I^2 \cap J_1/J_1I) = 0$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^{d} (-1)^{i-1} h'_i \geq 2$ and this is contradiction. □

Northcott in [19] proved that $e_1(I) \geq e_0(I) - \lambda(R/I)$ and after that Huneke in [13] showed that $e_1(I) = e_0(I) - \lambda(R/I)$ if and only if $I^2 = JI$. When this is the case, $G(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Sally in [27] proved that if $d \geq 2$, $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 1$ and $e_2(I) \neq 0$, then $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d - 1$ (see also [14, Corollary 4.15] and [6, Proposition 3.1]). Also Itoh in [15] with this conditions proved that if I is integrally closured, then $G(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. In the following example we show that the condition integrally closed ideal I for Cohen-Macaulayness of $G(I)$ is essential.

The following example appear in [2].

Example 3.14. Let $R = k[x, y, z]_{(x,y,z)}$, where k is a field, and $I = (x^2 - y^2, y^2 - z^2, xy, yz, xz)$. Then, by Macaulay 2, we have $e_0(I) = 8$, $e_1(I) = 4$, $e_2(I) = 0$ and $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = 0$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let J be a minimal reduction of I. If $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 2$ and $I = \bar{I}$, then $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d - 1$.*

Proof. By using the Sally machine and the good behaviour of $e_1(I)$ modulo superficial elements, we may reduce the statement to dimension two. If $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 2$, by [24, Corollary 1.5] we have $r_J(I) \leq 3$ for any minimal reduction J of I. If there exist a minimal reduction J of I such that $r_J(I) = 2$, then by [16, Lemma 2.1] $G(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay. If for any minimal reduction J of I, $r_J(I) = 3$ then by [24, Remark 1.8] $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 2 - \lambda(I^2/JI)$. Hence $r(I) = 3 \leq 4 - \lambda(I^2/JI)$ and $\lambda(I^2/JI) \leq 1$ and so by [24, Corollary 1.7] $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq 1$. □

Proposition 3.16. *Let J be a minimal reduction of I. If $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) \leq 3$ and $I = \bar{I}$, then $r(I)$ is independent.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.15 and the above explanation, we can assume $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 3$ and also by [21, Corollary 4.7] and [10, Lemma 1.1] we may assume that $d = 2$. If $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq 1$, then by [18, Corollary 2.2] we have $r_J(I) = \operatorname{reg}(G(I))$ and so $r(I)$ is independent. Now we may assume that $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = 0$. Since $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 3$, by [24, Corollary 1.5] $r_J(I) \leq 4$ for any minimal reduction J of I. If for some J, $r_J(I) = 2$ then by [16, Lemma 2.1] $G(I)$ is Cohen-Macaulay and this is a contradiction with $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = 0$. If for some J, $r_J(I) = 4$ then by [24, Remark 1.8] $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 2 - \lambda(I^2/JI)$. Therefore $\lambda(I^2/JI) \leq 1$ and so $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq 1$ and this is also a contradiction.

Hence we can assume that for any minimal reduction J of I, $r_J(I) = 3$ and so $r(I)$ is independent. □

Corollary 3.17. *Let J be a minimal reduction of I. If $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) \leq r_J(I) - 1$, $I = \bar{I}$ and $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-2$, then $r(I)$ is independent.*

Proof. By using the Sally machine and the good behaviour of $e_1(I)$ modulo superficial elements, we may reduce the statement to dimension two. If $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) \leq r_J(I) - 1$, then by [24, Remark 1.8] $r_J(I) \leq e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) + 2 - \lambda(I^2/JI)$. Hence $\lambda(I^2/JI) \leq 1$ and so $\operatorname{depth} G(I) \geq d-1$. Therefore the result follows by [17, Theorem 2]. □

Example 3.18. Let $R = k[x,y]_{(x,y)}$ where k is a field, and $I = (x^6, y^6, x^5y, x^3y^3, x^2y^4, xy^5)$. Then by Macaulay 2 we have $e_0(I) = 36$, $e_1(I) = 15$ and $\lambda(R/I) = 22$. Hence $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 1$ but $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = 0$ and $r_J(I) = 2 = reg(G(I))$ for all minimal reduction J of I.

Example 3.19. Let $R = k[x,y]_{(x,y)}$ where k is a field, and $I = (x^6, y^6, x^5y, x^3y^3, x^2y^4)$. Then by Macaulay 2 we have $e_0(I) = 36$, $e_1(I) = 15$ and $\lambda(R/I) = 23$. Hence $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 2$ but $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = 0$ and $r_J(I) = 2 = reg(G(I))$ for all minimal reduction J of I.

Example 3.20. Let $R = k[x,y]_{(x,y)}$ where k is a field, and $I = (x^6, y^6, x^5y, x^3y^3, xy^5)$. Then by Macaulay 2 we have $e_0(I) = 36$, $e_1(I) = 15$ and $\lambda(R/I) = 23$. Hence $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 3$ but $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = 0$ and $r_J(I) = 2 = reg(G(I))$ for all minimal reduction J of I.

Example 3.21. Let $R = k[x,y]_{(x,y)}$ where k is a field, and $I = (x^6, y^6, x^5y, x^2y^4, xy^5)$. Then by Macaulay 2 we have $e_0(I) = 36$, $e_1(I) = 15$ and $\lambda(R/I) = 24$. Hence $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 3$ but for two minimal reduction $J_1 = (x^6, x^5y + y^6)$ and $J_2 = (x^6, y^6)$ we have $r_{J_1}(I) = 2$ and $r_{J_2}(I) = 3$ and $\operatorname{depth} G(I) = 0$ because I is not integrally closed.

The following example due to Huckaba and Huneke [12].

Example 3.22. Let $R = k[x,y,z]_{(x,y,z)}$ where k is a field of characteristic $\neq 3$. Let $\mathfrak{a} = (x^4, x(y^3+z^3), y(y^3+z^3), z(y^3+z^3))$ and set $I = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{m}^5$ where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal of R. The ideal I is a integral closer m-primary ideal whose associated graded ring $gr_I(R)$ has depth 2. We checked that $e_0(I) = 76$, $e_1(I) = 48$ and $\lambda(R/I) = 31$ so $e_1(I) - e_0(I) + \lambda(R/I) = 3$ and $r(I)$ is independent.

References

1. W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, (1998).

2. A. Corso , C. Polini and M. E. Rossi , *Depth of associated graded rings via Hilbert coeffcients of ideals*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra, **201** (2005), 126-141.
3. D. R. Grayson and M. E. Stillman, *Macaulay 2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry*, Available at http:// www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2.
4. A. Guerrieri, *On the depth of the associated graded ring of an m-primary ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring*, J. Algebra, **167** (1994), 745-757.
5. A. Guerrieri, *On the depth of the associated graded ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **123**(1995), 11-20.
6. A. Guerrieri and M. E. Rossi, *Hilbert coefficients of Hilbert filtrations*, J. Algebra, **199**(1998), 40-61.
7. A. Guerrieri and M. E. Rossi, *Estimates on the depth of the associated graded ring*, J. Algebra, **211**(1999), 457-471.
8. L. T. Hoa, *Reduction numbers and rees algebras of powers of an ideal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **119**(1993), 415-422.
9. L. T. Hoa, *Reduction numbers of equimultiple ideals*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra, **109** (1996), 111-126.
10. S. Huckaba, *Reduction numbers of ideals of higher analytic spread*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **102** (1987), 49-57.
11. S. Huckaba, *A d-dimensional extension of a lemma of Huneke's and formulas for the Hilbert coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **124**(1996), 1393-1401.
12. S. Huckaba and C. Huneke, *Normal ideals in regular rings*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **510**(1999), 63-82.
13. C. Huneke, *Hilbert functions and symbolic powers*, Michigan Math. J.,**34** (1987), 293-318.
14. S. Huckaba and T. Marley, *Hilbert coefficients and the depths of associated graded rings*, J. London Math. Soc., **56** (1997), 64-76.
15. S. Itoh, *Hilbert coefficients of integrally closed ideals*, J. Algebra, **176**(1995), 638-652.
16. A. Mafi and D. Naderi, *A note on reduction numbers and Hilbert-Samuel functions of ideals over Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Turkish J. Math., **40**(2016), 766-769.
17. T. Marley, *The coefficients of the Hilbert polynomial and the reduction number of an ideal*, J. London Math. Soc., **40** (1989), 1-8.
18. T. Marley, *The reduction number of an ideal and the local cohomology of the associated graded ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **117**(1993), 335-341.
19. D. G. Northcott, A note on the coefficients of the abstract Hilbert function, J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1960) 209-214.
20. D. G. Northcott and D. Rees, Reduction of ideals in local rings, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **50**(1954), 145-158.
21. K. Ozeki and M. E. Rossi, *The structure of the Sally module of integrally closed ideals*, to appear in Nagoya Math. J.
22. C. Polini, *A filtration of the Sally module and the associated graded ring of an ideal*, Comm. Algebra, **28**(2000), 1335-1341.
23. L. J. Ratliff and D. Rush, *two notes on reductions of ideals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **27**(1978), 929-934.
24. M. E. Rossi, *A bound on the reduction number of a primary ideal*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **128**(1999), 1325-1332.
25. M. E. Rossi and G. Valla, *Hilbert functions of filtered modules*, Lecture Notes of the Unione Matematica Italiana, Vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin; UMI, Bologna, 2010.

26. J. D. Sally, *Reductions, local cohomology and Hilbert functions of local ring*. In Commutative Algebra: Durham 1981. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series no. **72**(Cambridge University Press, 1982), pp. 231-241.
27. J. D. Sally, *Hilbert coefficients and reduction number 2*, J. Algebraic Geom., **1**(1992), 325-333.
28. B. Strunk *Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, postulation numbers, and reduction numbers*, J. Algebra, **311**(2007), 538-550.
29. N. V. Trung, *Reduction exponent and dgree bound for the defining equations of graded rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **101**(1987), 229-236.
30. P. Valabrega and G. Valla, *Form rings and regular sequence*, Nagoya Math. J., **72**(1978), 93-101.
31. M. Vaz Pinto, *Hilbert functions and Sally modules*, J. Algebra, **192**(1997), 504-523.
32. H. J. Wang, *Hilbert coefficients and the associated graded rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **128** (2000) 963-973.
33. H. J. Wang, *On the associated graded rings of ideals of reduction 2*, J. Algebra, **236**(2001), 192-215.
34. Y. Wu, *Reduction numbers and Hilbert polynomials of ideals in higher dimensional Cohen-Macaulay local rings*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **111**(1992), 47-56.

A. Mafi, Department of Mathematics, University of Kurdistan, P.O. Box: 416, Sanandaj, Iran.

E-mail address: a_mafi@ipm.ir

D. Naderi, Department of Mathematics, University of Kurdistan, P.O. Box: 416, Sanandaj, Iran.

E-mail address: dler.naderi65@gmail.com